

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,542	10/09/2001		Ewa Herbst	4174-003A	7133
7	590	09/24/2003			
GARY A. WA			EXAMINER		
HALE AND DORR LLP 300 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY, 19932			GILBERT, SAMUEL G		
NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3736	1
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i 💮	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/974,542	HERBST, EWA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MANUALO DATE of the communication and	Samuel G Gilbert	3736
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON.	timety filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	1	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wii iioiii consideration.	•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	
Application Papers	r olootion roquilomont.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the Ex	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/974,542

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 6/23/03 does not fully comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.98 because: copies have not been provided. Since the submission appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE** (1) **MONTH** from the date of this notice to supply the above mentioned omissions or corrections in the information disclosure statement. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 CFR 1.136(a) OR (b). Failure to timely comply with this notice will result in the above mentioned information disclosure statement being placed in the application file with the noncomplying information **not** being considered. See 37 CFR 1.97(i).

Claim Objections

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from more than one claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 10 ands 11 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/974,542

Art Unit: 3736

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9, 12, 13, 21 and 22, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Tepper et al (6,418,345).

Tepper et al. teaches a method and apparatus for delivering electromagnetic fields to tissues the applicant's attention is directed to figures 10A-10C and their respective descriptions. Varying time and dB/dt are shown.

Claims 1-9, 12, 13, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Dissing et al(6,561,968).

Dissing et al teaches a method and apparatus for delivering electromagnetic fields to tissue, the applicant's attention is invited to column 13 line 25 through column 14 line 32.

Claims 1-9, 12, 13, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Chaney(5,061,234)

Chaney teaches a method and apparatus for delivering electromagnetic fields to tissue, the applicant's attention is invited to figure 4 and the corresponding description.

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tepper et al, Dissing et al, or Chaney as applied above in view of Blackell(5,997,464). The previously recited references teach a device and method as claimed but does not teach a sawtooth waveform for generating the electromagnetic field. Blackwell teaches the use of a sawtooth waveform and a plurality of different are all functional equivalents, column 9 lines 29-34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a sawtooth waveform to generate the electromagnetic waveform as a substitution of functionally equivalent waveforms as taught by Blackwell

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G Gilbert whose telephone number is 703-308-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703-308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Samuel G Gilbert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

sgg